### INTERNATIONAL PHD PROGRAM SEMINAR: FALL 2013: PRE-DEFENSES (update: 5.09.2013)

## The general aim of the Fall 2013 Program Seminar:

The general aim of the Fall 2013 Program Seminar is to allow students to make significant progress toward the completion of their dissertations. In order to achieve that goal, each student will defend one substantial chapter of his or her dissertation in a seminar setting which will closely resemble a regular PhD defense in Poland.

The students will defend one of the chapters already completed (equivalent of 40-60 double-spaced pages) with the particular insistence on:

- the novelty of their research
- methodological soundness
- the ability to clearly and convincingly communicate the results of their research to the Defense Committee and the public
- the ability to respond to remarks during the defense public debate
- the ability to ask important questions during the discussion and to provide the PhD candidate a high quality peer-review.

#### **Methods and Procedures:**

The students and Professors of our Program will split into two sections of the Seminar which will meet during two consecutive meetings of 1 hour and 25 minutes (with a 10 minutes break between them).

Each sectional meeting will be structured as a small "pre-defense":

- At least 2 weeks prior of his or her "pre-defense", the PhD candidate responsible for the meeting will circulate the original chapter of his or her dissertation, as well as a 6-8 double-spaced pages long *autoreferat* in English (as a matter of courtesy, the PhD candidates are encouraged to communicate asap their chapters to the professors and students reviewing their work).
- The "defense" will be moderated by Prof. Axer or another professor of his choosing, with the help of a student co-moderator.
- The meeting will start with the presentation of the reviews by the two professors reviewing the chapter (10 minutes + 10 minutes). Those reviews (3-4 pages long *recenzje*) will be communicated to the PhD candidate only after the "pre-defense." It is highly advisable that both of the professors writing the reviews come from the future Dissertation Committee of the candidate and that at least one of them come from outside of the Program (the PhD Advisor should not be the reviewer of his or her advisee).
- The remaining part of "defense" will be devoted to the discussion in the following order: a/ questions from the floor; b/ answers by the PhD candidate to the professors' reviews and to the questions from the floor; c/ follow up discussion building on the candidate's answers.
- Following the "defense" one student will write a peer-review based on their reading of the chapter and their assessment of the PhD candidate's answers to the questions he or she has received during the pre-defense. All other students of the section are expected to read the *autoreferat* before the meeting, but they are not required to have read the whole chapter.
- All the remaining professors of the given section will provide the PhD candidate a one-page long assessment of his or her chapter, *autoreferat* and answers during the discussion.
- The PhD candidate will be strongly encouraged to invite people from outside of the Program to his or her "pre-defense"

All members of the Program are expected to attend each Seminar meeting of the section they have chosen at the beginning of the semester (all are welcome to attend both sections whenever they wish to). If you are unable to attend in person for a compelling reason, please inform the Program Moderator and the technical staff about your attendance through videoconferencing at least 1 week before the date of the Seminar meeting (see pt. 4.1. of the *Guidelines*).

# Members of Section A (2:00-3:25 PM, Warsaw time)

Students:	Professors:
Aleksander Sroczyński	Jolanta Sujecka
Olimpia Dragouni	Piotr Wilczek
Mate Agnes	Joanna Partyka
Karolina Mroziewicz	Ewa Lukaszyk
Bogdan Trifunović	Maria Kalinowska
Ewa Janion	Jerzy Axer
	Jan Miernowski

# Members of Section B (3:35-5:00 PM Warsaw time)

Students:	Professors:
Ines Ackermann :)	Janusz Rieger
Oksana Zakhutska	Jan Kieniewicz
Paweł Miech	Maciej Abramowicz
Natalia Obukowicz	Szymon Wróbel
Kasia Chruszczewska	Jerzy Axer
Krzysztof Skonieczny	Jan Miernowski
Kamil Wielecki	

## Calendar:

Date	PhD Candidate	Student co-moderator	Reviewers (Profs) /
			Peer-reviewer (student)
October 3 section A	Olimpia Dragouni	Bogdan Trifunović	Reviewers (for Olimpia): Prof. dr Dragi G`orgiev Prof. dr Alexandra Ioannidou <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Bogdan Trifunović (Olimpia's chapter)
October 3	Paweł Miech	Ewa Janion	Reviewers (for Pawel):

section B			Prof. Paweł Dybel Prof. Mirosław Loba <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Kasia Chruszczewska (Paweł's chapter)
October 17 section A	Aleksander Sroczyński	Olimpia Dragouni	Reviewers (for Aleksander): Prof. Katarzyna Marciniak Prof. Andrzej Borowski <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Karolina Mroziewicz
October 17 section B	(Ines Ackermann)	Kamil Wielecki	(Aleksander's paper) <u>Reviewers (for Ines):</u> Prof. Anna Zielińska Prof. Halina Kraś <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Kamil Wielecki (Ines' chapter)
November 7 section A	Karolina Mroziewicz	Aleksander Sroczyński	<u>Reviewers (for Karolina):</u> Prof. Maria Poprzęcka Prof. Gábor Klaniczay <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Mate Agnes (Karolina`s chapter)
November 7 section B	Oksana Zakhutska	Natalia Obukowicz	<u>Reviewers (for Oksana):</u> Prof. Halina Karaś Prof. Zofia Sawaniewska- Mochowa <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Postdoc (Oksana's chapter)
November 21 section A	Mate Agnes	Postdoc	<u>Reviewers (for Agnes):</u> Prof. László Szörényi Prof. Alinowa Nowicka- Jeżowa <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Ewa Janion (for Agnes)
November 21	Natalia Obukowicz (chapter in	Kasia Chruszczewska	Reviewers (for Natalia):

section B	French)		Prof. Witold Pietrzak. Prof. Denis Crouzet. <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Krzysztof Skonieczny (for Natalia)
December 5 section A	Bogdan Trifunović	Oksana Zakhutska	<u>Reviewers (for Bogdan):</u> Prof. dr Dragi G`orgiev Prof. dr Christian Voss <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Oksana Zakhutska (Bogdan's chapter)
December 5 section B	Kasia Chruszczewska	Krzysztof Skonieczny	<u>Reviewers (for Kasia):</u> Prof. Agata Bielik Robson Prof. Wacław Rapak <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Natalia Obukowicz (Kasia's chapter)
January 9 section A	Ewa Janion	Karolina Mroziewicz	<u>Reviewers (for Ewa):</u> Prof. Małgorzata Borowska Prof. Ilias Wrazas <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Aleksander Sroczyński (Ewa's chapter)
January 9 section B	Krzysztof Skonieczny	Agnes Mate	Reviewers (for Krzysztof): Prof. Monika Bakke Prof. Paweł Dybel <u>Peer reviewer:</u> Paweł Miech (Krzysztof's chapter)
January 16 section B	Kamil Wielecki	Paweł Miech	<u>Reviewers (for Kamil):</u> Prof. Michał Buchowski Prof. Aleksiej Miller <u>Peer reviewers:</u> Olimpia Dragouni (Kamil's chapter)

Expected Outcome: 1. "Defense" of a chosen chapter of the dissertation (including an *autoreferat*)

- 2. An active participation in all the seminar discussions, both during the seminar meeting and on the internet discussion forum.
- Professorial reviews of chapters and assessments of the chapters and "defenses"
  Students' peer reviews of students' chapters and "defenses"